



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,743	03/10/2004	Garrett R. Vargas	13768.783.111	6429
47973	7590	09/03/2008		
WORKMAN NYDEGGER/MICROSOFT				
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER				
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE				
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111				
EXAMINER				
IBRAHIM, MOHAMED				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2144				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/03/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/798,743

Applicant(s)

VARGAS ET AL.

Examiner

MOHAMED IBRAHIM

Art Unit

2144

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MOHAMED IBRAHIM.(3) Hassan Phillips.(2) Brian Tucker (Reg. #: 61550).(4) Jens C. Jenkins (Reg. #: 44803).

Date of Interview: 28 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 23.

Identification of prior art discussed: Aronson (U.S. Patent No. 6654787).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative explained the general invention. He also discussed the proposed amendment and the difference between the applied prior art and their invention. Based on cursory review, the proposed amendment seems to overcome the applied 102 rejection. Examiner will perform further search and consideration upon the reception of the official response to the outstanding office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hassan Phillips/
Examiner, Art Unit 2151